UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/524,680	10/18/2005	Yutaka Matsuoka	043210	8336
38834 7590 11/24/2010 WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			EXAMINER	
			KASHNIKOW, ERIK	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1782	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/24/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
from Pre-Appeal Brief	10/524,680	MATSUOKA ET AL.	
Review		Art Unit	
Tte vie v	Erik Kashnikow	1782	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Revi	iew filed 22 October 2010.
 Improper Request – The Request is improper and reason(s): 	d a conference will not be held for the following
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurr ☐ The request does not include reasons why a rev ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre ☐ Other: . 	view is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notion	
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interference held. The application remains under appeal because the is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decirunning from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whicheve appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based up of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	ere is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal ision, or the balance of the two-month time period ver is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the
☐ The panel has determined the status of the cla Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,3,6-13. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	im(s) is as follows:
3. ☐ Allowable application – A conference has been I Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remapplicant at this time.	
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been he action will be mailed. No further action is required by ap	
All participants:	
(1) Rena L. Dye.	(3) <u>Erik Kashnikow</u> .
(2) <u>William Krynski</u> .	(4)
/Rena L. Dye/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 1782	